2000 Vol. 2, No. 2 147–149

Palladium-Mediated Ring Opening of Hydroxycyclopropanes

Soon-Bong Park and Jin Kun Cha*

Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487

Received November 15, 1999

cha@jkc.ch.ua.edu

ABSTRACT

$$R^{2} \xrightarrow{R^{1}CO_{2}R} \xrightarrow{HO} \xrightarrow{R^{1}} \xrightarrow{Pd(II)} \xrightarrow{R^{1}} \xrightarrow{R^{2}} \xrightarrow{R^{2}}$$

The palladium-mediated ring opening of substituted cyclopropanols has been found to take place predominantly at the less substituted C–C bond. Thus, sequential application of the titanium-mediated cyclopropanation of esters and the palladium-mediated ring opening of the resulting cyclopropanols provides a convenient method for functionalizing monosubstituted olefins.

The unique reactivity of cyclopropanes due to the high level of strain offers considerable utility in organic synthesis. Many imaginative applications of cyclopropanes as useful building blocks have been predicated on regio- and stereocontrolled ring-opening reactions of substituted cyclopropanes. Typically, electrophilic opening has been achieved by transition metals (Pd, Pt, Rh, and Ir), halogens (Cl⁺ and Br⁺), and soft Lewis acids (Hg²⁺ and Tl³⁺). The electron donor (particularly, alkoxy, siloxy, and arylthio) substituted cyclopropanes have found increasing use, primarily due to facile and regiocontrolled ring opening. Surprisingly, ring opening of unprotected hydroxycyclopropanes has been little explored. Herein we report the palladium-mediated regioselective ring opening of substituted cyclopropanols.

The starting cyclopropanols were readily prepared by the titanium-mediated cyclopropanation of carboxylic esters. ^{4,5} The presence of the free alcohol on the cyclopropane ring was anticipated to facilitate ring opening due to the intermediacy of the metal alkoxide. ⁶ Indeed, treatment of **1a** and **1b** with Pd(OAc)₂ in the presence of pyridine under an atmosphere of oxygen gave the ring-opened products **2a** and **2b** in 55% and 88% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 1 and 2).

⁽¹⁾ For general reviews, see: (a) Gibson, D. H.; DePuy, C. H. *Chem. Rev.* **1974**, *74*, 605. (b) Crabtree, R. H. *Chem. Rev.* **1985**, *85*, 245. (c) Battiste, M. A.; Coxon, J. M. In *The Chemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group*; Rappoport, Z., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1987; Chapter 6. (d) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M.-Y.; Tse, C.-W.; Yip, Y.-C.; Tanko, J.; Hudlicky, T. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 165. (e) Trost, B. M. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1986**, *133*, 3.

⁽²⁾ For recent representative examples, see: (a) Gassman, P. G.; Bonser, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3431. (b) Campbell, W. H.; Jennings, P. W. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1460. (c) Blomberg, M. R. A.; Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Bäckvall, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4450. (d) Jennings, P. W.; Johnson, L. L. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2241. (e) Kocovsky, P.; Srogl, J.; Pour, M.; Gogoll, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 186 and references therein. (f) Hayashi, M.; Ohmatsu, T.; Meng, Y.-P.; Saigo, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 837. (g) Wender, P. A.; Husfeld, C. O.; Langkopf, E.; Love, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1940.

^{(3) (}a) Kuwajima, I.; Nakamura, E. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1990**, *155*, 1. (b) Ikura, K.; Ryu, I.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 1520. (c) Ryu, I.; Ikura, K.; Tamura, Y.; Maenaka, J.; Ogawa, A.; Sonoda, N. *Synlett* **1994**, 941. (d) Sugimura, T.; Futagawa, T.; Mori, A.; Ryu, I.; Sonoda, N.; Tai, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6100. (e) Hoberg, J. O.; Jennings, P. W. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 3902. (f) Beyer, J.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 12137. (g) Ito, Y.; Fujii, S.; Nakatsuka, M.; Kawamoto, F.; Saegusa, T. In *Organic Synthesis*; Norland, W. E., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1988; Collect. Vol. 6, p 327. (h) Booker-Milburn, K. I.; Thompson, D. F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1995**, 2315. (i) Hoberg, O. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 6615. (j) Reference le. (k) Cohen, T.; Brockunier, L. *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 2917 and references therein.

^{(4) (}a) Kulinkovich, O. G.; Sviridov, S. V.; Vasilevskii, D. A.; Pritytskaya, T. S. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1989**, 25, 2244. (b) Kulinkovich, O. G.; Sviridov, S. V.; Vasilevskii, D. A.; Savchenko, A. I.; Pritytskaya, T. S. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1991**, 27, 294. (c) Kulinkovich, O. G.; Sorokin, V. L.; Kel'in, A. V. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1993**, 29, 66.

^{(5) (}a) Lee, J.; Kang, C. H.; Kim, H.; Cha, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 291. (b) Lee, J.; Kim, H.; Cha, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 4198. (c) Lee, J.; Ha, J. D.; Cha, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 8127. (d) Ha, J. D.; Lee, J.; Blackstock, S. C.; Cha, J. K. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 8510.

⁽⁶⁾ Cf.: Nishimura, T.; Ohe, K.; Uemura, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2645.

Table 1. Pd(II)-Mediated Ring Opening of Cyclopropanols

		<i>C</i> 1 .	J 1 1
entry	substrate	conditions ^a / time	product(s)/ selectivity and yield
1	но 1а	A 4 h	2a 55%
2	OTIPS 1b	A 4 h	TIPSO 2b 88%
3	HOMe OTIPS	A 4 h	OTIPS 2c O +
			3c 3c 2c:3c = 3.9 : 1 (87%)
4		B 1.5 h	2c:3c = 4.2 : 1 (93%)
5		C 1 h	2c : 3c = 3.5 : 1 (77%)
6		D 1 h	2c : 3c = 1 : 0 (79%)
7	HOOTIPS	В ^{<i>b</i>} 4 h	2d and 3d: $R^1 = c \cdot C_6 H_{11}$ $R^2 = C H_2 C H_2 O T I P S$ 2d: 3d = 6.8 : 1 (84%) ^b
8		D 1 h	2d : 3d = 1 : 0 (76%)
9	HO OTIPS	B ^b 4 h	2e and 3e : $R^1 = 1$ -cyclohexenyl $R^2 = CH_2CH_2OTIPS$ 2e : 3e = 7.5 : 1 (59%) ^b
10		D 1 h	2e : 3e = 4.2 : 1 (73%)

a. Reaction conditions:

- (A) Pd(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv), pyridine (2 equiv), MS 4 Å, toluene, 80 °C, O₂.
- (B) Pd(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv), DMSO (2 equiv), MS 4 Å, toluene, 80 °C, O₂.
- (C) Pd₂(dba)₃ (0.1 equiv), DMSO (2 equiv), MS 4 Å, toluene, 80 °C, O₂.
- (D) Pd₂(dba)₃ (0.1 equiv), p-benzoquinone (2 equiv), MS 4 Å, toluene, 80 °C, O₂.
- b. 0.2 equiv catalyst under an atmosphere of N₂ instead of oxygen.

We next examined the regioselectivity of Pd(II)-mediated ring-opening reactions of substituted cyclopropanols (Scheme

Scheme 1

1). Under identical reaction conditions, the cyclopropanol 1c afforded a 3.9:1 mixture of the two regioisomers 2c and 3c in 87% yield (Table 1, entry 3). From the examination of different conditions (such as use of DMSO⁷) and catalysts (entries 4-6), exclusive formation of 2c was achieved by employing Pd₂(dba)₃ and p-benzoquinone as a reoxidant (entry 6). Similar results were also obtained for cyclopropanols 1d (entries 7 and 8) and 1e (entries 9 and 10), although ring opening of 1e was found to proceed with only modest regioselectivity. At lower temperatures (e.g., 50 °C),

148 Org. Lett., Vol. 2, No. 2, 2000

⁽⁷⁾ For a unique role of DMSO, see: (a) Kagan, H. B.; Ronan, B. Rev. Heteroat. Chem. 1992, 1, 92. (b) Larock, R. C.; Hightower, T. R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5298. (c) Semmelhack, M. F.; Kim, C. R.; Dobler, W.; Meier, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 4925.

⁽⁸⁾ For example, treatment of 2e with anhydrous FeCl₃ at −20 °C (20 min) resulted in a Nazarov reaction to afford the fused cyclopentenone product in 61% yield.

Table 2

entry	substrate	conditions ^a ,	/ product(s)/ selectivity and yield
1	HO OTIPS	D 1 h	OTIPS 2f 71%
2	HO OTIPS	D 1 h	OTIPS 2g 70%
3	OTIPS HOOTIPS	D 3 d	N. R.
4		A 4 h	2h and 3h : $R^1 = (S)\text{-CH}(\text{OTIPS})\text{Me}$ $R^2 = \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OTIPS}$ 2h : 3h = 4.1 : 1 (84%)
5		B ^b 4 h	2h : 3h = 7.0 : 1 $(60\%)^b$
6	OBOM HOOTIPS	D 3 d	2i and 3i: $R^1 = (S)\text{-CH}(OBOM)Me$ $R^2 = CH_2CH_2OTIPS$ 2i: 3i = 1 : 0 (37%)
7		B 1 h	2i : 3i = 2.5 : 1 (74%)
8	OTIPS OTIPS	D 1 h	2j: $R^1 = CH_2CH(OTIPS)Me$ $R^2 = CH_2CH_2OTIPS$ (78%)

a. Reaction conditions and b.: See the footnotes for Table 1.

ring opening took place more slowly and with insubstantial enhancement in regioselectivity. Mechanistically, the Pd(II)—alkoxide intermediate $\bf A$ undergoes ring opening of the less substituted $\bf C-\bf C$ bond (bond a) to produce an alkylpalladium species $\bf B$ in preference to $\bf C$ (from scission of bond b). Subsequent β -hydride elimination then affords the products $\bf 2c-e$ and $\bf 3c-e$. Finally, the Pd(II)—hydride or Pd(0) species formed is converted to active Pd(II) by a reoxidant. The pivotal role of the Pd(II)—alkoxide intermediate $\bf A$ was demonstrated in a control experiment employing the *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether (structure not shown) of $\bf 1c$, which was recovered unreacted.

Additional examples, mainly containing α - or β -substituents adjacent to the cyclopropanol functionality, are shown in Table 2. While the palladium-mediated ring-opening reaction of cyclopropanols appears to be general, α -alkoxy-substituted compounds (Table 2, entries 3–7) proved to be sensitive to the catalyst and the reaction conditions.

On cursory examination, ring opening of bicyclic or tricyclic cyclopropanols 1k-m revealed a preference for cleavage of the more substituted C-C bond (bond b in Scheme 1). The product distributions given in Scheme 2 are kinetic in origin, since no changes were observed upon resubjecting 2k-m or 3k-m to the identical reaction conditions. Higher levels of ring strain associated with these substrates can account for rapid ring opening and the opposite regioselectivity.

It should be noted that the present method nicely complements Pt(II)-catalyzed isomerization of siloxycyclopropanes to allyl silyl ethers by means of a 1,2-hydrogen shift.^{3b} Sequential application of the titanium-mediated cyclopropanation of esters and the palladium-mediated ring opening of the resulting cyclopropanols should be of utility in organic synthesis.⁸

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CHE98-13975) for financial support.

OL991250R

Org. Lett., Vol. 2, No. 2, 2000